The prevalence of self-reported smoking and validation with urinary cotinine among commercial drivers in major parks in Lagos, Nigeria
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Abstract

The validity of self-reported smoking is questionable because smokers are inclined to deny smoking. We aimed to determine the prevalence of self-reported smoking among intra-city commercial drivers in Lagos, and assess its validity based on urinary cotinine assessment. This study was conducted at three major motor parks in Lagos, Nigeria. Information on smoking status and habits was obtained from 500 consecutive male drivers using a structured questionnaire during a face-to-face interview. Eighty-one self-reported smokers and non-smokers were selected by systematic random sampling for urinary cotinine assessment using cotinine strips. The prevalence of self-reported smoking was compared to the prevalence of smoking based on urinary cotinine and the specificity and positive predictive values of self-reported smoking was determined. Prevalence of self-reported current smoking was 32% and 17.9% of non-smokers were passive smokers. Among 81 drivers in whom urinary cotinine assessment was performed, the prevalence of smoking based on self-report was 34 (42%) compared to 41 (50.6%) when based on urinary cotinine, \( \chi^2=38.56, P<0.001 \). The rate of misclassification among self-reported non-smokers as smokers was 21.3% and misclassification rate for self-reported smokers as non-smokers was 8.8%. The sensitivity of self-reported smoking in accurately classifying smoking status was 91.2% and the specificity was 78.7%. The prevalence of self-reported cigarette smoking among commercial drivers in Lagos is high and a significant proportion of self-reported non-smokers are passive smokers. Self-reported smoking status obtained during face-to-face interview appears unreliable in obtaining accurate smoking data in our locality.

Introduction

Cigarette smoking is a growing problem in developing countries and about 80% of deaths attributable to tobacco are expected to occur in this region by 2030. According to the World Health Organization World Mental Health Survey (2002 to 2003), 16.8% of Nigerians use tobacco (cigarettes, cigars or pipe), however the prevalence is much higher among certain population groups such as commercial drivers in whom rates range from 25% to 85%.

Self-reported smoking is generally used to determine smoking prevalence in the population. However, the validity of self-reported smoking is often questionable because smokers are inclined to underestimate the amount smoked or deny smoking altogether. Smokers deny smoking because of social or medical disapproval, misunderstanding, intentional deception, embarrassment, denial, and shame. The percentage of subjects who deny smoking ranges from 1.4% in broad based epidemiologic studies and up to 35% among pregnant women. To validate the prevalence of self-reported smoking, certain biomarkers of cigarette smoke such as nicotine, cotinine, thiocyanate, carboxylated hemoglobin and exhaled breath carbon monoxide can be used. Cotinine, the major metabolite of nicotine, specific for tobacco is currently considered the best indicator of cigarette exposure (active and passive smoking) and has a greater sensitivity and specificity than other biomarkers. It has a long half-life (10-20 h) that allows for detection of recent smoking for up to four to seven days (compared to about two hours for nicotine) after the last episode of smoking. Cotinine can be measured in various biological fluids including plasma, urine, saliva, breast milk and cervical mucus. Measurement can be performed using either chromatographic techniques or by immunoassay analysis and results can be obtained quantitatively by laboratory estimations or qualitatively by use of cotinine test strips. Use of cotinine test strip is simple, less costly than the laboratory tests, provides results in minutes and is a valid method for confirming self-reported smoking. Specifically urinary cotinine strips have been demonstrated to compare favorably with the gold standard the gas chromatography and mass spectrometry laboratory assay.

Accurate assessment of smoking status is important in generating regional and national estimates which in turn guide the allocation of resources and the setting of health priorities. Smoking prevalence data in Nigeria have largely relied on the self-reported smoking status and therefore may be subject to bias. There is a need to validate the utility of self-report as a means of determining smoking prevalence in the Nigerian context. We therefore aimed to determine the prevalence of self-reported smoking among commercial drivers in the Lagos metropolis and the validity of this using urinary cotinine assessment.

Materials and Methods

This was a cross-sectional study of consecutively consenting intra-city commercial bus and taxi drivers in the Lagos metropolis. Ethical approval was obtained from the Health Research Ethics committee of the Lagos University Teaching Hospital Ile-Ife, Ile-Ife. The study was conducted at the three major commercial motor parks in Lagos Mainland, situated at Ojuelegba, Ile-Ife and Lawanson. These parks were selected based on information obtained from local government authorities and the National Union of Road Transport Workers (NURTW) on the ranking of the commercial motor parks based on membership of registered operators. A total of 610 commercial drivers were registered under the NURTW...
(mandatory membership required of all commercial drivers) at the 3 parks at the time of the study between September and December 2011. Verbal permission was obtained from the NURTW executive at each park, while individual written consent was obtained from each participating driver.

Data was collected from consecutively consenting drivers during the weekly NURTW meetings held at the park. Based on an initial pilot survey in which a response rate of 80% was obtained we estimated a total sample of 488 (80% of 610) with the intention to round this up to a final sample size of 500.

Data collection was carried out by a trained interviewer during a face-to-face encounter. Information obtained included demographic data (age gender), history of cigarette smoking and smoking habits (including the quantity and type of tobacco smoked).

We defined ever smoking as smoking at least one stick of cigarette per day for at least one year or more than 20 packs of cigarette in a lifetime and current smoking as smoking at least one puff of cigarette in the preceding 30 days. We also defined recent smoking as smoking at least one puff of cigarette in the preceding three days. History of second hand tobacco exposure (passive smoking) described as being in the presence of someone who was smoking cigarettes in the preceding three days. Use of nicotine replacement was described as use of nicotine gum, patch or nasal spray in the preceding 30 days.

Smoking dependence was assessed using the Modified Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence. This is a validated 6 item questionnaire that asks about time of first cigarette smoking in a day, smoking in forbidden places, most difficult cigarette to give up, number of sticks of cigarette smoked per day, smoking the highest quantity of cigarette in the first hour after waking and smoking when quite ill. Each question has options that are weighted one to three with a maximum total score of ten. A total score of 0-4 shows mild nicotine dependence, 5-6 medium dependence and 7-10 high nicotine dependence.

To limit costs, urine samples were collected by systematic random sampling in a clean universal bottle from every fifth person who was a current smoker and admitted to smoking cigarettes in the preceding 3 days. Use of nicotine replacement was described as use of nicotine gum, patch or nasal spray in the preceding 30 days.

Validity of self-reported smoking was considered using the Modified Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence. This is a validated 6 item questionnaire that asks about time of first cigarette smoking in a day, smoking in forbidden places, most difficult cigarette to give up, number of sticks of cigarette smoked per day, smoking the highest quantity of cigarette in the first hour after waking and smoking when quite ill. Each question has options that are weighted one to three with a maximum total score of ten. A total score of 0-4 shows mild nicotine dependence, 5-6 medium dependence and 7-10 high nicotine dependence.

To limit costs, urine samples were collected by systematic random sampling in a clean universal bottle from every fifth person who was a current smoker and admitted to smoking cigarettes in the preceding 3 days. Use of nicotine replacement was described as use of nicotine gum, patch or nasal spray in the preceding 30 days.

Validity of self-reported smoking was considered using the Modified Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence. This is a validated 6 item questionnaire that asks about time of first cigarette smoking in a day, smoking in forbidden places, most difficult cigarette to give up, number of sticks of cigarette smoked per day, smoking the highest quantity of cigarette in the first hour after waking and smoking when quite ill. Each question has options that are weighted one to three with a maximum total score of ten. A total score of 0-4 shows mild nicotine dependence, 5-6 medium dependence and 7-10 high nicotine dependence.

To limit costs, urine samples were collected by systematic random sampling in a clean universal bottle from every fifth person who was a current smoker and admitted to smoking cigarettes in the preceding 3 days. Use of nicotine replacement was described as use of nicotine gum, patch or nasal spray in the preceding 30 days.

Validity of self-reported smoking was considered using the Modified Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence. This is a validated 6 item questionnaire that asks about time of first cigarette smoking in a day, smoking in forbidden places, most difficult cigarette to give up, number of sticks of cigarette smoked per day, smoking the highest quantity of cigarette in the first hour after waking and smoking when quite ill. Each question has options that are weighted one to three with a maximum total score of ten. A total score of 0-4 shows mild nicotine dependence, 5-6 medium dependence and 7-10 high nicotine dependence.
specificity was 78.7% with a positive predictive value of 75.6% and a negative predictive value of 92.5%.

Twenty-two (46.8%) of the self-reported non-smokers who had urinary cotinine assessment were passive smokers and five passive smokers had positive urinary cotinine assessment. Therefore of the 10 self-reported non-smoking drivers with positive urinary cotinine, passive smoking may have been a confounder in 5 (10.6%) participants.

Discussion

Social acceptability bias affects the validity of self-reported smoking and therefore determines the reliability of smoking data obtained by this method. In this study, the prevalence of self-reported current smoking (32%) among commercial drivers is high and a significant proportion of self-reported non-smokers are passive smokers. Among the drivers in whom urinary cotinine assessment was performed, the prevalence of self-reported smoking was lower than the prevalence of smoking based on urinary cotinine assessment. This resulted in a misclassification rate of 21.3% among self-reported non-smokers (classified as smokers based on positive urinary cotinine). For self-reported smokers, rate of misclassification as non-smokers based on a negative urinary cotinine assessment was 8.8%. Self-reported smoking had a low specificity and positive predictive value in accurately determining smoking status.

The prevalence of self-reported smoking in our study is similar to that reported in other cohorts of commercial drivers in Nigeria but much higher than that in the general population. The high prevalence of smoking among commercial drivers implies that this group are particularly vulnerable to initiating and sustaining a smoking habit. Factors such as peer pressure, availability, accessibility and affordability of cigarettes, as well as high stress levels associated with the job and the perceived need for stimulants use may contribute to the high prevalence of smoking among commercial drivers. Commercial drivers are thus an important target group in controlling tobacco use in Nigeria. The significant proportion of light smokers with low nicotine dependence in our study implies that despite the high smoking prevalence, tobacco cessation and control programs are likely to be effective in this group. Furthermore, the substantial proportion of passive smokers found in our study signifies a low level of knowledge among the non-smoking drivers of the dangers of exposure to second hand cigarette smoke and hence failure to protect themselves from their smoking counterparts. This demands an intensive education program for commercial drivers that highlights the harmful effects of cigarette smoking including passive smoking so that non-smoking drivers can take adequate precautions. Cigarette smokers have approximately a 20 fold increase in lung cancer risk compared to never smokers and passive smoking increases the risk of lung cancer by 20-25%. The Tobacco Control Act which regulates the advertising, and sale of cigarettes and prevents exposure of non-smokers to cigarette smoke by restricting smoking in public areas is yet to be signed into law in Nigeria and individuals must therefore make personal efforts to avoid exposure to second-hand smoke. Tobacco companies have also found a haven in developing countries such as ours where the Tobacco Control Act is not fully implemented for tobacco manufacturing, advertising and inappropriate sales which increases the availability, affordability and use of cigarettes. For instance, cigarettes are freely sold (per stick) in most commercial motor parks in Nigeria.

The high rate of misclassification of non-smokers as current smokers based on positive urinary cotinine suggests that some drivers deliberately falsified their smoking status. Self-reported smoking was therefore not reliable in determining smoking prevalence among our study cohort. The unreliability of self-reported smoking as a means of determining smoking status has been described among various populations. A systematic review of about 67 studies in adults showed a trend of underestimation of smoking status when based on self-report compared to cotinine assessment. The misclassification rate among self-reported non-smokers appears more marked among populations that are attending the hospital for conditions such as pregnancy (35%), bronchoscopy clinic (18%) and lung cancer screening (7%) where the information was obtained during face to face interviews compared tostudies in which information was obtained from self-administered questionnaire during regular screening exercises or from general population surveys. On the other hand, the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) a general population survey and a community based survey in Finland, found self-reported smoking to be quite reliable in determining smoking prevalence. Also, among educated young adults, self-reported smoking also appeared reliable. Therefore this suggests that the reliability of self-reported smoking in determining smoking prevalence varies among various populations and depends on various factors such as the means of administering the questionnaire, the level of education of the respondents and the setting in which the information was obtained. To our knowledge, no earlier study has validated the reliability of self-reported smoking in Nigeria, and our findings are quite significant as the misclassification rate we found is higher than in other populations. This implies that certain factors such as cultural disapproval for smoking and low level of education among the drivers may have contributed to the inaccurate self-reporting of their smoking status.

The cut off level for positive urinary cotinine assessment used in this study (>200 ng/mL) is not expected to identify moderate passive smokers (levels of urinary cotinine in moderate passive smokers and very light smokers usually 11-30 ng/mL) suggesting that some of the self-reported passive smokers may be current smokers who deliberately falsified their smoking status. However, if we assume that these drivers accurately reported their smoking status as passive smokers, then reasons for the positive urinary cotinine in passive smokers may include genetic variability in the coding of liver enzymes for which Africans have been shown to have higher cotinine levels compared to Caucasians. Other factors that reduce the enzymatic metabolism of cotinine which may also play a role in increasing cotinine positivity in light smokers and passive smokers include use of menthol cigarettes, higher lean body mass and fewer years of alcohol use.

The misclassification rate among self-reported current smokers as non-smokers in our study was also noted and may be as a result of genetic polymorphism that occurs in certain individuals. For instance, individuals with genetic homozygous deletion of cytochrome P450 (CYP2A6) gene (which converts nicotine to cotinine) have decreased cotinine excretion despite smoking.

A recognized limitation in this study is the inability to perform urinary cotinine assessment for all drivers; this was as a result of the high cost of the cotinine test strips (this was a non-funded research). However, the systematic random sampling used for selection of those tested we believe reduced this potential bias. In conclusion, the prevalence of cigarettes smoking among intra-city commercial drivers in Nigeria is high and a significant proportion of non-smokers are exposed to second-hand smoke. Our study suggests that self-reported smoking may not be a reliable means of determining smoking prevalence in our population. Further studies validating self-reported smoking in other cohort of smokers are needed and the reliability of the information obtained by face to face interview as used in our study should be compared to that obtained from self-administered questionnaires.
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